Combining the Power of Taverna and caGrid

Scientific Workflows that Enable Web-Scale Collaboration

Service-oriented architecture represents a promising approach to integrating data and software across different institutional and disciplinary sources, thus facilitating Web-scale collaboration while avoiding the need to convert different data and software to common formats. The US National Cancer Institute’s Biomedical Information Grid program seeks to create both a service-oriented infrastructure (caGrid) and a suite of data and analytic services. Workflow tools in caGrid facilitate both the use and creation of services by accelerating service discovery, composition, and orchestration tasks. The authors present caGrid’s workflow requirements and explain how they met these requirements by adopting and extending the Taverna system.

Service-oriented architecture (SOA) promises to evolve the Web from an information hub to a machine-to-machine collaboration platform. In science, where rapid and accurate communication is often vital to progress, adopting SOA approaches could bring about “service-oriented science.” Biomedical research is one field that’s benefitted from Web-scale collaboration using SOA. (See the “Wrapping Biomedical Data as Services” sidebar.)

The effort to virtualize resources as services in service-oriented science can foster an ecosystem that facilitates scientific investigation in a Web-scale manner. However, a healthy service ecosystem requires more than interoperability: it needs users willing to both use existing services and develop and publish a steady stream of new ones. Thus, we require tools that facilitate service development, publication, discovery, and composition. (We also require reward systems that encourage users to engage in these tasks, but that topic is beyond this article’s scope.)

Scientific workflows that compose and orchestrate services are an approach for meeting these two requirements and thus sustain the service ecosystem. First, scientists usually achieve scientific explorations through complex and distributed procedures. If scientific workflow tools help them discover services that meet their needs...
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and compose those services in a desired sequence with a lighter programming burden, they’ll be more willing to use this ecosystem. Second, user-created workflows that represent “best practices” for scientific experimentation can also be wrapped as services and published for others to use. This way, users not only consume but also contribute to the system.

The cancer Biomedical Informatics Grid (caBIG) program (https://cabig.nci.nih.gov/) is an example of this service-oriented effort in biomedical research. Sponsored by the US National Cancer Institute (NCI), it was established to let scientists more efficiently share data to accelerate cancer research. caGrid (www.cagrid.org), the service-based grid infrastructure for caBIG, is based on the Globus Toolkit 4.0 grid middleware. The caGrid infrastructure allows users to wrap a wide variety of cancer-research-related data sources and analytical capabilities as services. Nearly 50 cancer centers and 30 other organizations are working collaboratively on caBIG, and caGrid provides more than 68 services. Thus, users must inevitably construct more complex experiment routines by composing multiple services as workflows.

Here, we present the requirements for developing scientific workflows from caGrid and explain how we fulfill these requirements by leveraging and enhancing software tools. The caGrid workflow encounters several challenges, so we selected a tool called Taverna (http://taverna.sourceforge.net/) as our solution. We’ve also created a caGrid plug-in that extends Taverna with the ability to orchestrate caGrid services.

Challenges in Scientific Workflow

Service-oriented computing has brought traditional workflow technology to a Web-scale paradigm. However, new challenges arise when we adopt workflows in scientific exploration, espe-
Scientific workflow has been the subject of considerable research, and researchers have developed and validated many systems. A caBIG team performed a comprehensive investigation comparing these systems, languages, and tools (see http://gforge.nci.nih.gov/docman/view.php/332/7509/icr_workflow_tool_review_2007.doc). The team concluded that Taverna does a particularly good job of meeting caGrid’s workflow requirements in terms of its full life cycle (see Figure 1). Other existing tools also have many desirable features, but here we’ll focus on Taverna.

Taverna is an open source workflow workbench developed in the myGrid project (www.mygrid.org.uk). Its goal is to facilitate the use of workflows and distributed resources within the e-science community. Taverna provides both a workflow-authoring tool that uses a proprietary definition language called Scufl, and an execution engine compliant with this language. It has built-in support for accessing many biological databases and analytical services, such as Biomart (www.biomart.org) and Soaplab (www.ebi.ac.uk/soaplab/). It also provides an extensible framework that lets developers plug in additional applications.

To validate the decision to choose Taverna, let’s look at some research challenges that occur in the life cycle of scientific workflows and the Taverna features that align with them. This life cycle has four stages: services discovery, workflow composition, workflow execution, and result analysis (Figure 1, right). We noticed that the service interaction process (discovery, engagement, and enactment) proposed in the Semantic Web Service Architecture (SWSA) is a well-accepted one for Semantic Web services. The terms we use here come more from a scientific workflow perspective but can be aligned with SWSA terms.

During these four stages, scientist can greatly benefit from community experience through which they can share data, services, workflows, and the knowledge obtained by doing experiments. Taverna also has a sister project called myExperiment (www.myexperiment.org) — a Web 2.0 community for workflow sharing. For these reasons, we selected Taverna as the workflow “backbone” in caGrid.

**Discovery**

Choosing which service to use might be trivial in business workflows because most participant services are either homemade or outsourced via contracts. However, scientific workflows exist in a Web-scale ecosystem in which many organizations provide many services. Users might not know these services’ URLs or even their functions and semantics: because the scientific community is too autonomous to share a common terminology, without domain knowledge it’s impossible to determine a service’s exact semantics using its syntax. A service ecosystem must establish an agreed-on vocabulary and use it to annotate services, so that users can discover them with less ambiguity. Taverna has an extension point (or scavenger) to aid customized service discovery. We’ll discuss this more in the next section.

**Composition**

In this step, workflow developers compose individual services into a workflow that describes the experiment scientists will undertake. Developers might add data and control dependencies among services, or they might transform data if one service’s output isn’t in the format required for another service’s input. Developers can perform composition using general-purpose programming languages such as Java, scripting notations such as Swift or DAGMan, or even GUIs more desirable to scientists in a given domain.

Whereas business processes consist of complex control logic, scientific workflows are more focused on parallel data flow. In a data flow, tasks and links represent data processing and data transport, respectively. Tasks without data dependencies between them can execute in parallel. Taverna’s modeling style fits in this dataflow-oriented flavor, which the caGrid community welcomes.

**Execution**

At runtime, a workflow engine invokes services in a predefined order, providing their input and retrieving their output during the ordered execution. An engine for scientific workflows should be aware of the data and computation resources that it can leverage and, ideally, will plan the execution to optimize some performance index, such as execution time or throughput.

Taverna provides a functional model, called implicit iteration, to ease parallel execution. At runtime, implicit iteration occurs if a processor receives more inputs than it expects. This capability is useful when workflow designers...
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can’t estimate inputs’ cardinality at build-time, which often happens in caGrid workflows. In contrast, in an imperative language such as the Web Service Business Process Execution Language (WS-BPEL), you’d have to add `<while>` or `<forEach>` constructs explicitly for iteration.

**Analysis**

Scientists create and execute workflows in support of exploratory research. Component services generate intermediate results, and the workflow yields final results; these results are of great value and should be stored for later analysis. Taverna gives each intermediate and final data item a unique identifier and stores them properly. Its extension framework lets users add customized functions such as data visualization, tracking, and querying.

**Scientific Workflow in caGrid**

Globus-based caGrid services are Web services invoked by SOAP, with WSDL-defined interfaces. Globus implements two sets of Web services features that are particularly important for Web-scale computing: access to stateful resources and secure access.

In scientific computing, users want to access and manipulate state in service interactions. For example, scientists might submit a job to a scheduler and want to query the state of this specific job instance or get state notification when the job completes (note that the scheduler contains multiple job instances). The Web Service Resource Framework (WSRF) specification lets service clients access stateful resources. A resource-generation operation (job submission, for example) creates a new resource instance
and returns an element called ReferenceProperties that identifies this instance. Resource-access operations (such as job state query) can simply launch a SOAP invocation as normal and insert the ReferenceProperties into the SOAP header to access a specific resource instance, or query resource states (called resource properties in WSRF).

In Web-scale scientific collaboration, secure communication is important for protecting resources across organizational boundaries. The Grid Security Infrastructure (GSI)\(^9\) is a set of components in the Globus Toolkit that provides security features. It uses public-key cryptography to offer functions such as secure encryption, authentication (identifying the caller/sender), authorization (checking access rights), and delegation (performing a task on a delegator’s behalf).

Our caGrid plug-in for Taverna had certain requirements. It must

- make Taverna aware of caGrid services, so that caGrid users can choose available services they’ll later orchestrate using Taverna;
- configure services at build-time with caGrid properties, such as a resource identifier and security, if needed; and
- receive instruction from the Taverna engine at runtime to invoke caGrid services with a possible Globus extension.

We extended three interfaces in Taverna — the Scavenger, Processor, and TaskWorker — to integrate it into caGrid. As we mentioned earlier, a scavenger contains a customized services query and the query’s result — that is, a collection of processors. A processor contains the build-time information for a single step inside a Taverna workflow. Each processor corresponds to a TaskWorker interface, which defines the action the processor will perform at runtime.

Figure 2 shows interactions between Taverna and the components in the caGrid plug-in, which we’ll now look at in some detail.

**Metadata-Based Service Query**

In step 1 (see Figure 2), a user first initiates a service query through the Taverna workbench (newScavenger()). The caGrid Scavenger performs this query against service metadata stored as resource properties of the caGrid index service, which leverages the caGrid service metadata definition that Figure 3 illustrates. The HostingResearchCenter hosts a service, which might have several PointsOfContact. Its ServiceContext contains a list of Operations; each operation has a list of InputParameters and an Output, both of whose data types can be defined via UMLClasses. caGrid service metadata annotates Service, Operation, and UMLClass with SemanticMetadata. caGrid has defined a common vocabulary for UMLClass and SemanticMetadata, which users can leverage for semantic-based querying.

The caGrid plug-in provides three querying methods:

- **string based**, which lets users locate services related to “pathology,” for example;
- **property based**, which, for instance, locates services hosted by “Ohio State University”
with the name DICOMDataService and the operation PullOp; and
• semantic based, which locates services, for example, that are semantically annotated as Core Grid Service.

We can combine these three methods to form complex queries. Looking at Figure 2, we can see that the caGrid Scavenger turns user queries into XPath clauses and sends them to a caGrid index to get a list of services (query() and service list). The workbench displays this service list (getProcessorList()) for users to choose.

cagrid Configuration:
State Management and Security
After the service query, Taverna populates the workbench with a list of processors that users can add to build a new workflow (step 5 in Figure 2, newProcessor()). For Globus-specific extensions, (step 6, or wsrfConfig()), users configure ReferenceProperties for processors by linking resource-generation processors to resource-access processors. In step 7, security-Config(), users configure security for processors, specifying, for example, which certificate and which encryption method to use.

cagrid Service Invocation
At runtime, when the workflow execution reaches a certain processor, Taverna creates a TaskWorker class that fetches the input data from the workbench and wraps them into a SOAP message body. After that, TaskWorker sends the SOAP message out for service invocation (call()) and obtains a response (soap response). The workbench displays the returned results (execution result).

You can download the caGrid plug-in from www-unix.mcs.anl.gov/~madduri/taverna/ and install it in Taverna (1.7.1.0 or a more recent release). We’ve tested it with several caGrid workflows, one of which we describe next.

Microarray Clustering Workflow:
A Case Study
To illustrate our caGrid plug-in’s application, we tested it with a microarray hierarchical clustering workflow that involves services hosted at multiple institutions.

Microarrays are a high-throughput technology used to measure the expression of tens of thousands of genes in different tissues or cells. Scientists represent the data from each microarray via a vector (profile) in which each element represents a gene’s expression level. They use clustering analysis to identify similar expression profiles across genes or samples. In particular, hierarchical clustering is popular for grouping microarrays into a multilevel hierarchy in which, at each level, arrays in the same cluster are more similar to each other than those in different clusters. To cluster data, the user must identify and retrieve relevant microarrays, preprocess them, and then invoke the hierarchical clustering program. In the past, we might have programmed this sequence of steps using a scripting language such as Perl. Instead, we use Taverna and the caGrid plug-in to identify relevant services, compose those services with additional building blocks (for data transformation), and orchestrate their execution. Our workflow involves three major steps:

1. Identify and retrieve the microarray data of interest. We used CQL, the query language that caGrid Data Services uses, to specify this data and retrieve it from a caArray data service hosted at Columbia University (http://cagridnode.c2b2.columbia.edu:8080/wsrf/services/cagrid/CaArrayScrub).

2. Preprocess, or normalize, the microarray data before clustering them. We used a GenePattern analytical service (http://node255.broad.mit.edu:6060/wsrf/services/cagrid/PreprocessDatasetMAGEService), which provides normalization, floor and ceiling thresholding, variation filtering, and other preprocessing functions. We used an instance of this service hosted at MIT’s Broad Institute.

3. Run hierarchical clustering on the preprocessed data. We invoked the geWorkbench analytical service Columbia University hosts (http://cagridnode.c2b2.columbia.edu:8080/wsrf/services/cagrid/HierarchicalClusteringMage).

Figure 4 illustrates the Taverna workflow. It contains an input processor to store the CQL expression, an output processor to store the clustered microarray data (both input and output...
processors are blue), three caGrid processors (green) representing the three caGrid services just listed, and a few “shim” processors, such as XML splitters and beanshell scripts, to deal with data transformation between services.

Once we’ve created our workflow, we can use Taverna to execute it, monitor its execution, and examine both its output and the data it produces at intermediate steps. Figure 5 shows the execution trace (bottom left) and the execution result (right), which, in this case, is an XML document representing the hierarchically clustered arrays.

Compared to our approach, traditional approaches based on, for example, Perl scripting are more time-consuming and error-prone. Moreover, they can’t handle Web-scale specific issues, such as services that aren’t visible or understandable to users or services that are volatile. In contrast, with our plug-in, we can discover caGrid services using domain metadata, compose, execute, and monitor workflows in a visualized manner. Overall, we believe that Taverna offers many desirable features with little programming effort.

The work we’ve described here is a first step toward providing a comprehensive and easy-to-use workflow suite that extends scientific investigations to Web scale. Future work, which we’re pursuing with the Taverna team, includes providing more comprehensive security support and optimizing dataflow execution. For example, we plan to improve performance for large data sets using tools such as GridFTP to directly transfer data between processors instead of through the workflow engine.

We believe that the solution and experience we present here are applicable to other application scenarios in related disciplines. We’re working to apply the same methods to other domains of experimental and simulation science.
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Figure 5. Execution trace and results for the microarray clustering workflow. In this case, Taverna created an XML document representing the hierarchically clustered arrays.
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